

Message

From: Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]
Sent: 1/25/2016 8:01:41 PM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]
CC: Dan Pope [DPope@css-dynamac.com]
Subject: RE: Deliverable for technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ
Attachments: Addendum 2 comments.docx

Hi Carolyn –

I think I have my comments close to being done, but this has been a difficult memo to write, and I'm afraid there are still typos in it or other problems – hope you can take a quick look and tell me if further editing is needed – Dan, your input would be appreciated as well –

Eva

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2016 4:46 PM
To: Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>
Cc: Dan Pope <DPope@css-dynamac.com>
Subject: RE: Deliverable for technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ

Thanks Eva

I read through Dan's comments, and looking at them from the standpoint of how Amec is likely to respond. These comments are not for me, but for them. My impression is that they will likely respond with "comment noted" and proceed to finalize the document in its present form. Are you both in agreement with that? If something needs to be fixed before they finalize, we need to tell them now. As for comments about contingency remedies we already have a ROD for this site, which says they will do SEE and then EBR and then MNA. There are no contingency remedies in the works; we shouldn't presume they will be needed, but rather be specific about what they need to do to make sure that this EBR will achieve its goals. If that means that they must meet <500 ppb criteria with SEE for EBR to work we need to say that up front. Or if their model does not adequately demonstrate requirements we need to get into those details. Does the plan ensure adequate characterization and DQOs?

From: Davis, Eva
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2016 11:39 AM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Deliverable for technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ

Hi Carolyn – here's Dan's comments. Not long, but very significant – still working on my own, will have them next week. Eva

From: Dan Pope [mailto:DPope@css-dynamac.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2016 7:56 PM
Subject: Deliverable for technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ

Deliverable for technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ

Drs. Burden and Davis:

I've attached CSS-Dynamac's deliverable for the technical directive GWTSC-038, Amendment #1, Technical Support for Williams Air Force Base, Mesa, AZ.

The specific deliverable attached to this email is:

GWTSC-038 Davis Williams AFB EBR Review final.docx

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Daniel F. Pope, Ph.D.
580.399.3105
dpope@css-dynamac.com

